COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Auburn	Valley	8	
Inspected by:		Date:	
Sgt. Doug Millig	4/2/2010		

Page 1 of 2

9						
	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pr			
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level Total hours expende inspection: 4			d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included☐ Attachments Included		
Follow-up Required: Valley Division Due Date: 4/15/2010 Chapter Inspection: Command Reimbursable Services						
Inspector's Comments Regar N/A			3:			
Command Suggestions for St N/A	atewio	le Improvement:				
Inspector's Findings:						
Policies and procedures are be payment is sought.	eing fo	llowed. Rates quo	ted/charged are	e current rates, and advance		
Commander's Response: 🗹	Concu	ır or 🗌 Do Not Cor	icur (Do Not Cond	cur shall document basis for response)		
Inspector's Comments: Shall a etc.)	address	non concurrence by c	ommander (e.g., fi	indings revised, findings unchanged,		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command: Auburn	Division: Valley	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. Doug M	illigan	Date: 4/2/2010

Required Action	表表示是一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一	有种的,这种种的
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		
Corrective / totion / hard / hiteline		
None.		
	8	
Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE: 4/14/2010
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	To U Bourn	
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE: 4/14/2010
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE ,
employee		do

☐ Do not concur

Concur

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Command:	Division:	Number:
220 – Auburn	Valley Division	
Evaluated by:	Date:	
Sgt. Doug Millig	3/30/2010	
Assisted by:	Date:	
Shelley Daly	3/30/2010	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF II	NSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	re:		
☐ Divi	sion Level	Command Level		1	00		
☐ Office of Inspections ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection				11	:00 .		
☐ Office of Inspections ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection				. // 1	lliz		
Fo	llow-up Required:	☐ Follow-Up Inspection	Commande	er's Signature:			Date:
] Yes 🔲 No	BY:	V TIN	Moun	0		×3/30/10
			~ W	Dunn	n		125130/10
For ap	plicable policies, refer to	HPM 11.1, Chapter 6.					
Note: I	f a "No" or "N/A" box is che	ecked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	colanation		
	Prior to the performance		200-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		ME 2 , 100 - 24 - 24 - 24 - 24 - 24 - 24 - 24 -
	contracting party informed			☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	services, departmental ed	uipment usage, and					
	cancellation policy?	do unilogno and other					
Z.	Does the billing rate include mileage and other average auch as uniform or equipment demage?			☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
3	expenses such as uniform or equipment damage? 3. When a safety service is provided to another state						
agency, is the agency's five-digit billing code			⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
obtained?							
Is the billing code documented on the Reimbursable						Remarks:	
	Services Billing Memoran			☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks.	
5.	Is \$50 charged for each C	e cancellation notification is	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	less than 24 hours prior to						
6.							
	when employee(s) could	not be notified of the		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	cancellation of their service						
7.	Is information regarding to		N V			Remarks:	
	necessary right-of-way cle	earances or permits, local ertinent information made	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
	available to inquiring part						
Are written requests for specific services directed to							
	the appropriate command	?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
9.	Are traffic control services	less than \$50,000	5 2			Remarks:	
10	approved by Division?			☐ No	□ N/A	INGIIIAINS.	
10.	more approved by the Off	s estimated to be \$50,000 or	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
11		ve services approved by the	169				
• , ,	Assistant Commissioner,		☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Questions 12 through 17 pertain to collecting advance deposits.						
12. Is a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA) log number requested from Division for every contract?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
13. Is a CHP 465 form completed in accordance with policy?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
14. Are advance payments collected from the contracting company prior to the start of the service?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
15. Is a CHP 251 prepared and mailed to the contracting company upon receipt of advance payments?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
16. Is a CHP 467 prepared and submitted to the Fiscal Management Section upon completion of the contractual service(s)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
17. Is a copy of the CHP 465 attached to the weekly CHP 230, and if applicable, a CHP 169?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
Questions 18 through 31 pertain to the preparation of agr	eements.					
18. Is a CHP 466 maintained?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
19. Do RSA numbers begin with the letter "R" to denote reimbursable services, followed by two digit fiscal year, three digit location code, and a sequential number for each agreement?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
20. Is the CHP 466 closed out at the end of each fiscal year with a new log implemented on July 1 beginning with the sequential number 001?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
21. Are all sequential numbers accounted for when reconciling with the Billing Memorandum?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
22. Are sequential numbers not matching Billing Memorandums reconciled?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
23. Is the original RSA signed and filed at Area?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
24. Does the command proceed with all RSA arrangements, and if needed, ensure the requestor has obtained the necessary right-of-way, clearances, and permits?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
25. Is the indemnification clause included in the agreement when requested?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:		
26. Is the inclusion of the indemnification clause approved by the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:		
27. If the service is over \$50,000 per occasion, is a CHP 78R prepared and submitted to Contract Services Unit?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:		
28. Is a copy of the resolution, order, motion, or ordinance of the local governing body obtained when one of the contracting parties is a county, city, district, or other local public body?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
29. Are dignitary protection services referred to the Office of Dignitary Protection?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:		

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

30. Are CHP 312 forms, CHP 313 forms, and CHP 467 forms prepared when a statewide agreement is in		Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
21	effect?				
31.	When state agencies are requesting a statewide agreement, are they referred to Enforcement Services Division, Field Support Section?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Quest	ions 32 through 38 pertain to training agreement pro	cedures a	nd reporti	ng for se	rvices provided.
30	. Is a CHP 230 prepared by the contracting party when	CARTE GARGE	The Manual Parks		
	fees are collected on the day of the training session?	□Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
33.	Are the original CHP 467 and contract agreement submitted to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) upon completion of services (other than COZEEP, MAZEEP, extraordinary protective services, and special projects) within 5 days?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
34	Are copies of CHP 467 forms forwarded to the next				
	level of review?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the date when the Billing Memorandum was sent to FMS noted on the Reimbursable Services Control Log?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
36.	Is a copy of the command's Reimbursable Services Control Log forwarded or e-mailed to the Division Coordinator at the end of each month?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:beginning Sept. 2009 –
37.	Is the Reimbursable Services Control Log verified with the copies of the Billing Memorandums to ensure all reimbursable time has been reported to FMS for billing purposes?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
38.	Are outstanding items being inspected and resolved?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Questi	ons 39 through 52 pertain to extraordinary protectiv		and repo	rt of over	time hours for reimbursable
	I projects.				
39.	Is a copy of the CHP 467 and CHP 465 submitted to FMS upon completion of extraordinary protective services?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
40.	Is a reimbursable special project code obtained on every contractual service?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the overtime report(s) for reimbursable special project(s) used to reconcile CHP 415 forms for each special project?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are the special project codes on the overtime report(s) verified to ensure the correct special project code has been used?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
43.	Are all corrections noted on the overtime report(s)?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are overtime reports approved and dated by the commander after reconciling?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the original overtime report(s) forwarded to FMS?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
46.	Is a copy of the overtime report forwarded to Division by the 10 th of the month (except COZEEP/MAZEEP)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

4 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

47. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports forwarded to Division by the 15 th of the month?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
48. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports approved by Division and forwarded to FMS by the 30 th of the month?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
49. Is a copy of the CHP 71 attached to the overtime report(s) when there are reimbursable nonuniformed personnel hours?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
50. Is an amendment of service agreement requested prior to the fund being depleted, and if necessary, is the service discontinued?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
51. Are all payments made directly to FMS?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
52. Does the command require delinquent companies to pay outstanding invoices in full prior to providing any future services?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

P	ac	ıe	1	of	2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:		
Auburn Valley		8		
Inspected by:		Date:		
Sgt. Doug M	lilligan	3/30/2010		

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pr	
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection:		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	Forwa	rd to: Valley Division ate: 4/15/2010		
Chapter Inspection: Comman Inspector's Comments Regar N/A	ding Ir	nnovative Practices	3:	
Command Suggestions for St N/A Inspector's Findings:	atewic	de improvement.		
	regul	ar disposition ched	cks for convictio	ns and submission of DUI Cost
				cur shall document basis for response)
Inspector's Comments: Shall a etc.)	address	non concurrence by o	commander (e.g., f	indings revised, findings unchanged,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

8
Date:
3/30/2010

ANTENDED TO THE STATE OF STATE		
Required Action : N/A		
Corrective Action Dien/Timeline		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 4/14/2010
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE Millig	DATE 4/14/2010
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 0602/6

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

Command: Auburn – 220	Division: Valley	Number:		
Evaluated by: Sgt. Doug Milligan		Date: 3/30/2010		
Assisted by: Shelley Daly	,	Date: 3/30/2010		

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statutes, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	re:		
☐ Division Level	□ Command Level	N 11.00 -				
Office of Inspections	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	J. Milling				
Follow-up Required:	Follow-Up Inspection BY:	Commander's Signature: \[\times \ \times \ \times \ \times \ \times \ \times \ \ \ \times \ \times \ \ \times \ \ \times \ \ \times \ \ \times \ \				Date: ×3/30/10
For applicable policies, refer Administrative Procedures M	anual, Chapter 20.					
Note: A "Yes" response indicate be utilized for explanation.	s full compliance with policy. I	f a "No" or	"N/A" box is	s checked	I, the "Rema	irks" section shall
Does the command have ensure that a CHP 735, Reimbursement Statement arrest that meets the command have ensured that the command have ensured the command have ensured that the command have ensured that the command have ensured that the command have ensured the command	Incident Response ent, is prepared for each	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
attached to the allowabl B) CHP 735's and supporti C) Approved CHP 735's ar D) Approved CHP 735's ar Duplicates of submitted	on officer ensures that a CHP 7 e collision reports. ng documentation is verified by e submitted for commander's r e forwarded to the assigned off CHP 735's are filed locally.	the admir	nistrative su	pervisor.		
Does the command have assigned to process all (⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
the responsibility of proc	3 of this checklist is yes, is essing all CHP 735 forms tion or any other document?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	

2 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

5.	Are all CHP 735 forms forwarded to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) properly with completed criteria in either Section A or Section B of the form?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
6.	to facilitate notification of a conviction involving cases meeting the requirements of the Driving Under the Influence (DUI) Cost Recovery Program? This would involve cases where the following criteria applies: A Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) under .08% A chemical test is positive for drugs only There is no supporting BAC test of drug test (i.e., a refusal)	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
7.	 of Section A of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from one of the following dates? The date of BAC results of =.08% were received The date of BAC results of =.04% were received for a commercial driver 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
8.	 Are CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria of Section B of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from being notified of a conviction of California Vehicle Sections 23152 or 23153, or greater offence as a result of one of the following? The person arrested refused to provide a chemical test The arrest was for drugs only A BAC of < .08% was obtained 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
9.	Is the Itemized Staff Hours section of the CHP 735 completed as required in Highway Patrol Manual 11.1, Administrative Procedures Manual, and includes hours for all employees assigned to the incident?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks Reviewed by A/I officer, double check by Admin. Sgt.
	If the person arrested is transient, is the case being entered into the CHP 735A, Case Log-DUI Cost Recovery Program, without forwarding the CHP 735 to FMS?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are staff hours involved in the incident recorded on the CHP 735 to the nearest ten minutes?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12.	Do the total number of staff hours charged on the CHP 735 agree with the appropriate CHP 415, Daily Field Record?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Reviewed by A/I officer, double check by Admin. Sgt.

3 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

13.	Does the Notes portion of the CHP 415 indicate the billable DUI time when the CHP 415 includes more than one activity?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14.	Are the staff hours incurred by members of the Department for the following activities associated with an incident meeting the criteria for DUI cost recovery included in the CHP 735?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Response Time Co. Score Investigation				
	On-Scene InvestigationFollow-up Investigation				
	Report Writing				
	Vehicle Storage				
	Call Back				
	Field Sobriety Testing				
	Transportation				
	Booking				
	Chemical Testing				
	Traffic Control				
15.	Are the staff hours for officers-in-charge, sergeants, lieutenants, or captains listed on the CHP 735 for time spent performing the activities listed in question	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	12 of this checklist and not exclusively supervisory tasks?				
16.	Is the current hourly rate for reimbursement, sent out				
	to all commands via Comm-Net from FMS, being used?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17.	Is a copy of the CHP 735 being retained at the	N			Remarks:
	command and filed?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Copy filed w/ arrest report
18.	Is the command utilizing the optional CHP 735A to				
	track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19.	In the absence of a CHP 735A, how is the command tr	acking the	DUI Cost F	Recovery	Program?
N/A					
					r.
	18				
20.	If the command is not utilizing the CHP 735A, does				
	the command use a case monitoring system to track	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:: Monthly suspense item – to check DMV records for conviction
	cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program which includes all of the following information:				date

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

 Defendant Information? Violation Information? Court Information? FMS Information? BAC test results? 				
21. Are cases not resulting in a conviction within 12 months after submission to the District Attorney closed out after court verification of case status?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
22. Do closed out cases on the monitoring system have a line drawn through the Conviction Date and Date to FMS as well as the reason the case was closed and date of last follow-up check?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23. Does the command reconcile their CHP 735 documentation so that the Department will not have to make any refund as result of overpayment or erroneous charges?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24. Is the command reviewing the quarterly reports sent by FMS related to the submission of CHP 735 forms and case status identifying any deficiencies in the submission and accountability of the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Question 25 pertains to Fiscal Management Section.				
25. Is FMS reviewing the CHP 735 forms for completeness of information and returning deficient forms to the issuing command for corrections?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: